

Food Ingredients Stakeholder Forum
Tuesday, August 4, 2009
USP Headquarters

Recommendations and Actions

2. Strategic Directions

- ◆ USP needs to better understand the food industry
 - Continue stakeholder dialogues
- ◆ USP could provide industry with what CFR is lacking
- ◆ USP could review all GRAS Notified substances and assure they are included in FCC
- ◆ FCC could further expand to include products allowed outside of US
- ◆ Consider harmonizing with FAO and others
- ◆ Update FDA recognition of FCC



3. Food Additive Legislative Review

- ◆ The Dietary Supplement Verification Program should be made more well known to regulators, industry, and consumers



4. Reference Standards

- ◆ USP should consider providing a RS for known adulterants
- ◆ Because less complex methods are preferred by some companies, RS that are complex may be challenging
- ◆ Complex testing, however, can deter “bad actors.”
- ◆ Can more than one analysis be listed for each RS; a more complex analysis and a less complex analysis
- ◆ Some customers don't know when RSs expire, and this is important especially when calculations are tied to a RS.



5. Stakeholder Roundtable

- ◆ See other slides, to be integrated into this presentation
- ◆ Consider survey stakeholder survey to help provide improvement feedback for future Stakeholder Forums



6. Adulteration

- ◆ No actions

6. GRAS and Safety

- ◆ USP encourages industry to include as much data as possible in monograph submissions.



Thank You